

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

DAVID ANNOR,	:	
Petitioner	:	CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:23-0227
v	:	(JUDGE MANNION)
RACHAEL THOMPSON,	:	
Respondent	:	

MEMORANDUM

Petitioner, David Annor, an inmate confined in the Allenwood Low Security Correctional Institution, White Deer, Pennsylvania, filed the above captioned petition for writ of habeas corpus, pursuant to [28 U.S.C. §2241](#). (Doc. [1](#), petition).

Annor claims the Bureau of Prisons (BOP) has unlawfully denied him Earned Time Credits under the First Step Act (FSA). [Id.](#) For relief, Annor asks that the Court “apply his ETCs immediately.” [Id.](#) A response was filed on April 25, 2023, indicating that Petitioner has a final order of removal against him and is not eligible for earned credits. (Doc. [6](#)).

For the reasons set forth below, the instant petition will be denied.

I. Background

On October 29, 2021, the United States District Court for the District of Maryland sentenced Petitioner to a thirty-six (36) month sentence for Racketeering and Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering. (Doc. [6-1](#) at 5). He has a projected release date of June 9, 2023, via good conduct time release. [Id.](#) On February 14, 2023, a Detainer Action Letter was issued based on “a final Order of Removal against the alien.” (Doc. [6-1](#) at 8).

II. Discussion

Under the FSA, the Attorney General was charged with the development and release of a Risk and Needs Assessment System within 210 days of December 21, 2018, the date on which the FSA was enacted. [See](#) [18 U.S.C. §3632](#). This System is to be used for: (1) determining an inmate’s recidivism risk; (2) assessing an inmate’s risk of violent or serious misconduct; (3) determining the type and amount of evidence-based recidivism reduction (“EBRR”) programming appropriate for each inmate; (4) periodically assessing an inmate’s recidivism risk; (5) reassigning an inmate to appropriate EBRRs and productive activities (“PA”); (6) determining when to provide incentives and rewards for successful participation in EBRRs and PAs; and (7) determining when the inmate is ready to transfer to pre-release custody or supervised release. [See](#) [id.](#) [§3632\(a\)](#). Moreover, this system

provides guidance on the “type, amount, and intensity of EBRR programs and PAs to be assigned to each inmate based on the inmate's specific criminogenic needs.” See [Kurti v. White](#), No. 1:19-2019, 2020 WL 2063871, at *4 (M.D. Pa. Apr. 29, 2020)(citing 18 U.S.C. §3632(b)).

The FSA allows eligible inmates who successfully complete EBRRs or PAs to receive earned time credits to be applied toward time in pre-release custody or supervised release. See [18 U.S.C. §3632\(d\)\(4\)\(A\)](#). An inmate may earn ten (10) days of credit for every thirty (30) days of successful participation. See [id.](#) Moreover, eligible inmates who have been assessed at a minimum or low risk of recidivism who do not increase their risk of recidivism over two (2) consecutive assessments may earn five (5) days of time credit for every thirty (30) days of successful participation. See [id.](#) However, an inmate is ineligible to apply ECTs if the inmate is “the subject of a final order of removal under any provision of the immigration laws.” [18 U.S.C. §3632\(d\)\(4\)\(E\)](#).¹

¹ Pursuant to [18 U.S.C. §3632\(d\)\(4\)\(E\)\(i\)](#):

In general.—A prisoner is ineligible to apply time credits under subparagraph (C) if the prisoner is the subject of a final order of removal under any provision of the immigration laws (as such term is defined in section 101(a)(17) of the Immigration and Nationality Act ([8 U.S.C. 1101\(a\)\(17\)](#))).

[18 U.S.C. §3632\(d\)\(4\)\(E\)\(i\)](#).

Here, Respondent presented evidence that Petitioner is subject to a deportation order and that the BOP properly determined that Petitioner is not eligible for application of FSA time credits. [18 U.S.C. §3632\(d\)\(4\)\(E\)\(i\)](#); see also [Ramirez v. Sage](#), 2022 WL 2318693 (M.D. Pa. June 28, 2022) (denying habeas relief to inmate pursuant to [18 U.S.C. §3632\(d\)\(4\)\(E\)](#) based on evidence of a deportation order). As such, the Court will deny Annor's petition for writ of habeas corpus.

III. Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the petition for a writ of habeas corpus will be **DENIED**. An appropriate order will follow.

s/ Malachy E. Mannion
MALACHY E. MANNION
United States District Judge

Date: May 16, 2023

23-0227-01